# artdaq - Bug #21946

# daginterface starting multiple msgviewer per partition

02/21/2019 04:52 PM - Ron Rechenmacher

Status: Resolved Start date: 02/21/2019

Priority: Normal Due date:

Assignee: John Freeman % Done: 100%

Category: Estimated time: 0.00 hour

Target version:

Experiment: - Co-Assignees:

## **Description**

multiple "just do it.sh" invocations produce multiple message viewers per partition.

For example, having just\_do\_it environments for partition 0 and partition 3 and doing 2 just\_do\_it.sh calls in each produces:

/root

,				
mu2edaq01	:^	netstat -ulpn   grep viewer		
udp	0	0 0.0.0.0:10005	0.0.0.0:*	12436/msgviewer
udp	0	0 0.0.0.0:10005	0.0.0.0:*	26503/msgviewer
udp	0	0 0.0.0.0:13005	0.0.0.0:*	19292/msgviewer
udp	0	0 0.0.0.0:13005	0.0.0.0:*	21042/msgviewer
2019-02-	-21_1	6:48:54		

## **Associated revisions**

### Revision 86502da9 - 02/23/2019 12:50 PM - John Freeman

JCF: Issue #21946: Since stdin is now disabled from DAQInterface's perspective, determine the tty within the source me script

## Revision ea4ddd87 - 02/23/2019 01:01 PM - John Freeman

JCF: Issue #21946: forgot to add the source\_me in the previous commit

# Revision d9bf35ea - 02/23/2019 01:06 PM - John Freeman

JCF: since this fix hasn't yet been reviewed, I've moved it over to the feature/issue21946\_multiple\_msgviewers\_launched branch

Revert "JCF: Issue #21946: Since stdin is now disabled from DAQInterface's perspective, determine the tty within the source\_me script"

This reverts commit 86502da91729c1e18073539725dce7d5708f0809.

#### Revision 7672ad0b - 02/27/2019 03:12 PM - John Freeman

JCF: Issue #21946: Since stdin is now disabled from DAQInterface's perspective, determine the tty within the source\_me script

### Revision b5a8d707 - 02/27/2019 03:12 PM - John Freeman

JCF: Issue #21946: forgot to add the source\_me in the previous commit

## History

# #1 - 02/23/2019 01:05 PM - John Freeman

- % Done changed from 0 to 100
- Assignee set to John Freeman
- Status changed from New to Resolved

Fixed on feature/issue21946 multiple msgviewers launched (HEAD is ea4ddd87d517a4b8f1e063294b2e3d26b0c89649)

The problem is that the is\_msgviewer\_running() function which DAQInterface calls to determine if there's already a msgviewer window launched from

04/26/2021 1/2

its terminal broke when stdin for DAQInterface was disabled with a "<&-" as the solution to the hangs described in Issue #21804. The reason is\_msgviewer\_running() broke was that it had been calling tty to figure out what terminal it was in:

...but with stdin disabled, the return value wasn't something like "/dev/pts/2", but rather, "not a tty". So instead, what I've done is have the main DAQInterface source\_me script determine the tty, and assign it to the DAQINTERFACE\_TTY variable which is\_msgviewer\_running() can then check. Running just\_do\_it.sh more than once in a terminal, DAQInterface is now able to correctly recognize if a msgviewer has already been launched, so we don't end up with a new msgviewer window whenever we run just\_do\_it.sh.

### #2 - 02/26/2019 04:58 PM - Ron Rechenmacher

I ran the demo, killed everything, merged feature/issue21946\_multiple\_msgviewers\_launched into develop, ran run\_demo.sh, then reran just\_d\_it.sh and another msgviewer started. ait loa:

commit 15f9b77360d345ece6a84fbfb44f5f18cff1f34d
Merge: d9bf35e ea4ddd8
Author: Ron <ron@fnal.gov>

Date: Tue Feb 26 16:50:19 2019 -0600

Merge branch 'feature/issue21946\_multiple\_msgviewers\_launched' into develop

commit d9bf35ea56be91842d5e3850738bc01b6b963a42
Author: John Freeman <jcfree@mu2edaq01.fnal.gov>
Date: Sat Feb 23 13:06:34 2019 -0600

JCF: since this fix hasn't yet been reviewed, I've moved it over to the feature/issue21946\_multiple\_msgvie wers\_launched branch

Revert "JCF: Issue #21946: Since stdin is now disabled from DAQInterface's perspective, determine the tty within the source\_me script"

This reverts commit 86502da91729c1e18073539725dce7d5708f0809.

#### #3 - 02/27/2019 12:41 PM - John Freeman

After some investigating, I've determined that this is a rare case in which merging the feature branch into develop didn't actually bring in the desired changes- so the failed test above was actually testing the original, buggy algorithm. I've sent an email to Ron describing why the merge didn't do what it typically does and how to work around it; if anyone would like me to, I'll be happy to forward it to them.

04/26/2021 2/2